Approved For Release 2003/04/17: CIA-RDP79T00865A002200180001-9

Confidential

HR



STAFF NOTES:

Soviet Union Eastern Europe

Confidential

172

November 24, 1975 No. 0777/75

SOVIET UNION - EASTERN EUROPE

OOVIET ONION - EASTERN ESTON E	
	25X1
CONTENTS	
November 24, 1975	
Soviet Academy of Sciences Meeting To Elect Leadership and Weigh Sakharov's Case	1
Poland: Szlachcic Sacked	3
Czechoslovakia: Economic Plenum	4
East Germany: Honecker Plus Cunhal Equals Anti-Peking Slap	5
Soviet Rail Machinery Derailed	6
Soviet Relations with Eastern Europe: September 14 - November 15, 1975	8

Soviet Academy of Sciences Meeting To Elect Leadership and Weigh Sakharov's Case

25X6

The Soviet Academy of Sciences will meet on either November 25 or 26 to elect a new presidium and officers,

25X6

The elections were originally expected last May, when prestigious but aging academy president Mstislav Keldysh resigned because of ill-health. They were delayed, however, allegedly to enable the incumbent leadership to see through the academy's 250th anniversary celebrations last month.

25X6

Since Keldysh's resignation, academy Vice President Vladimir Kotelnikov has been acting president. There are rumors, that Keldysh's permanent successor will be 72-year-old Anatoly Aleksandrov, the head of the Kurchatov (atomic energy) institute, and a member of the current academy presidium. Aleksandrov has not been among those thought to be in the running; if he is elected, he may well be considered only an interim president.

25X6

an effort may be made at the academy meeting to strip Nobel Peace prize winner Andrey Sakharov of his membership. Sakharov himself is aware of "soundings" within the academy on the chances of gaining enough votes for an expulsion. He says he is confident, however, that such a move would fail; academy elections and expulsions are by secret ballot of the full membership, and only 28 percent of the members signed the anti-Sakharov statement published last month. Aleksandrov, incidentally, was among the 34 members of the academy's presidium—out of a total of 44—who signed the anti-Sakharov statement.

If the regime presses the academy to expel Sakharov and succeeds, this would be a strong indication that the scientific community no longer has the degree of immunity from political pressure in which it has prided itself. The US consulate in Leningrad reports a rumor that last week's street mugging of 69-year-old academician Dmitry Likhachev may have resulted from Likhachev's refusal to sign the academy's anti-Sakharov declaration.

The regime, meanwhile, has increased its public campaign against Sakharov by publicizing what it calls spontaneous condemnations of the dissident physicist by the Soviet public. In his weekly television show on Sunday, Pravda political commentator Yuri Zhukov held up stacks of letters described as condemning Sakharov's Nobel award and expressing "indignation and scorn toward the organizers of this more-than-suspicious hullaballoo."

In Florence, Italy, Mrs. Sakharov has reportedly told friends that she intends to go to Oslo to collect her husband's prize on December 10, unless he is allowed to leave at the last moment. She repeated her own, and her husband's, anxiety, however, that if she does so she may not be permitted to return to Moscow. Her Soviet re-entry visa, already once extended, expires on December 20.

With the addition of the letter-writing campaign and the possibility of Sakharov's expulsion from the academy, the regime's tactics in this case become even more reminiscent of those used against Solzhenitsyn prior to his forced exile. This parallel will undoubtedly add fuel to speculation that Sakharov may in the end be forced out of the country; he may accept this fate more willingly if the regime in fact refuses to allow his wife to return home.

25X1

Poland: Szlachcic Sacked

According to the US embassy, Franciszek Szlachcic, former number two man in the Polish party, has not been elected as a delegate to the approaching party congress. At least for the near term, his political career thus seems to have come to an end.

An embassy source says that in September Szlachcic tried to organize a "coup" against Gierek by disaffected party and security elements in Krakow. The Central Committee was allegedly tipped off by Szlachcic's brother-in-law, who is said to be vice commandant of the Krakow militia.

Since then Gierek has apparently moved to isolate Szlachcic. He did not occupy his normal position with the Politburo during last Thursday's Central Committee plenum, and he and ailing party secretary Krasko were the only leaders who did not attend provincial party conferences.

Szlachcic's political career has been declining since mid-1974, when he was removed from the secretariat for being too ambitious and openly nationalistic. He has subsequently appeared to carry out only minor governmental assignments, and he will probably soon lose his position as vice premier.

The reference to a "coup," although undoubtedly exaggerated, may be related to other stories circulating in Warsaw about opposition within the leader-ship to Gierek's economic policies.	25X1

25X1

Czechoslovakia: Economic Plenum

The Central Committee session that convened last Thursday contained no surprises. Party secretary Bilak delivered a report on the status of the European Communist party conference preparatory talks in East Berlin, but the bulk of the discussion centered around the economic tasks for next year.

The Presidium report predicted that the unspectacular goals of the state plan for 1975 will be fulfilled in the majority of sectors and overfulfilled "in places."

No specifics were given on shortcomings, but one "soft spot" may be the transportation sector; its federal minister, Stefen Sutka, was replaced the day after the plenum. Transportation delays have been blamed for both production shortcomings and localized consumer goods shortages.

The plenum resolution called for increased efforts to become more competitive in foreign markets and to step up production of investment and consumer goods for the domestic market. The resolution threw cold water on hopes for a reform. The regime revealed its formula for "increasing the participation of the working people in management"—the ensurance that every worker is familiar with the tasks of the economic plan. The Central Committee also sharply criticized the failure to increase the country's electric power capacity.

Finally the resolution contained the standard call for deepening the cooperation and fulfilling the program of economic integration with the CEMA	
countries, and the USSR in particular.	

November 24, 1975

25X1

25X1

East Germany: Honecker Plus Cunhal Equals Anti-Peking Slap

The East German party leadership used last week's visit by Portuguese Communist leader Cunhal to take another slap at the "anti-Soviet" and "antipeace" policy of the Peking leadership. Honecker and Cunhal also underscored the importance for unity and cohesion in the Communist movement--another oblique dig at Peking and at those independent-minded European parties which have been resisting Soviet pretensions to hegemony at the preparatory meetings for a European Party Conference.

The Honecker-Cunhal meeting was marked by "i-dentical views" on all questions discussed and by a pledge to contribute to successful convocation of the European conference. Other highlights included the predictable calls for struggle against imperialism and colonialism, solidarity with the pro-Soviet Angolan MPLA, and support for the "principles of peaceful coexistence." Both sides, however, made it clear that peaceful coexistence means peace between socialist and capitalist states, but not "peace between antagonistic classes."

According to the US embassy in Berlin, the communique was careful to avoid giving support solely for Cunhal and his party in Portugal. The communique, for example, spoke of the need for the Portuguese Communist Party and all "anti-fascist and genuine democratic forces" to fight for national independence. This line is in keeping with the recent East German tendency not to limit support either to Cunhal personally or to the Communists in general.

25X1

25X1

November 24, 1975

Soviet Rail Machinery Derailed

The Soviet press has launched a campaign excoriating segments of the economy for causing shortages of railroad freight capacity. The bottleneck is blamed on the insufficient production and inefficient utilization of freight cars and locomotives.

Freight car and diesel locomotive production over the first three quarters of 1975 is below a comparable period last year and has fallen short of the plan. Railway machinery historically has been a slow-growth branch, averaging less than a third of the growth rate of total civilian machinery during 1951-73. The deficiency is particularly glaring this year, when industry as a whole is performing well.

Deputy Minister Sotnikov of the Heavy and Transport Machine Building Branch has defended his ministry, pointing to construction industry delays in completing assembly shops for railway machinery and the failure of other branches to deliver promised parts--notably, electric lamps, metal wheels, electric engines, and control panels. Contributing to these problems is the failure of the ferrous metals branch to achieve its plan for rolled metals, steel pipe, and other products.

Also subjected to criticism in the press is the practice of loading freight cars to weights below the state norms. The ministries of ferrous metals, timber and wood processing, and construction materials have been specifically rebuked. A dual system of records—a true waybill for customers and a false one adjusted to conform to state standards for railway officials—is often used to get around regulations.

The industrial ministries under-load because the state norms often are unrealistic and result

Approved For Release 2003/04/17 FIA-RDP79T00865A002200180001-9

in unnecessary losses and damage to products in shipment. For example, when open hopper cars are loaded with cement according to state norms, a substantial portion blows out and is lost in transit, whereas a lighter load results in less wastage.

The problems with railway equipment remain largely unsolved. Attention has been focused instead on the more glamorous railroad construction projects, e.g., the Baykal-Amur Magistrale. Unless a substantial increase in effective rolling stock capacity occurs, the rail bottleneck will worsen as new lines are introduced. A policy designed to force freight loads to conform to state norms would be a cosmetic improvement, but would increase losses during transportation.

25X1A

November 24, 1975

Soviet Relations with Eastern Europe September 14 - November 15, 1975

"The strengthening of the unity and cohesion of the states of the socialist community has been and remains the central avenue of the foreign policy activity of the CPSU and the Soviet state." --A. A. Gromyko, "The Peace Program in Action," Kommunist No. 14, signed to press September 24, 1975

Consolidation under Moscow's leadership was the hallmark of Soviet efforts in the period as they promoted, planned, or held a series of multilateral meetings. In October, some progress apparently was made in preparations for the European Communist conference, but the meeting of 28 parties in East Berlin on November 17-19 showed that important differences have not been resolved. The conference now is unlikely to be held until after the Soviet party congress in February. Work on the CEMA summit of bloc party and government leaders also moved in fits and starts following meetings of senior officials in Moscow in mid-September, and in early and mid-October. A tentative date seemed to have been set for mid-November, but the summit was postponed at the last minute, reportedly so that it could be coupled with a Warsaw Pact summit. The Warsaw Pact countries themselves participated in an unusual flurry of meetings; a series of bilateral meetings was followed by the convening of the Pact military council in late October and the Pact defense ministers in mid-November.

European Communist Conference

The issues causing the breakdowns have varied from one forum to the next, but the basic problem lies in Moscow's search for recognition of its leadership within the international communist movement and the socialist bloc. To achieve this recognition

Moscow, through its East German ally, has submitted at least four separate draft declarations to the committees preparing the European Communist conference. Each version has been blander than the one preceding, but the response from independent-minded parties in Eastern and Western Europe has been generally negative. Moscow persists because it believes that even with a toothless concluding document--or none at all --the holding of a conference is in itself sufficient to give symbolic recognition to Moscow's ideological leadership.

CEMA

The CEMA summit has been rumored since early summer and could well be a major event. There have been only two such summits, and the last gathering in 1969 apparently took decisions leading to the writing of CEMA's long-term comprehensive program. This year's summit would reportedly concern itself with "raw materials, machine building, agriculture, and transport," which could cover such sensitive topics as the sharply higher prices Moscow suddenly imposed on its raw materials early this year and the impact of the Soviet grain shortfall. The summit is also expected to discuss guidelines for formalizing relations between CEMA and the EC. Even with the static created by the Romanians, who oppose the imposition of CEMA control over bilateral dealings with the Common Market, these questions are not the sort that are usually handled at the highest level. A hint of a major concern of the summit was given by a Soviet CEMA specialist, who said that "some administrative changes in CEMA organization may be made, especially concerning the role and responsibilities of the CEMA secretariat." This may mean that the Soviets are attempting to create new institutional forms that will expedite economic integration within the bloc.

Warsaw Pact

Another indication that the Soviets are pushing for more integration is the activity of the Warsaw

The military council reportedly contended with the "confidence-building measures" called for by the European Security Conference and Romania's continuing refusal to allow large numbers of its troops to participate in Pact exercises. It also wrestled with the Pact's 1976 exercise schedule. The defense ministers apparently continued discussions on this topic and went on to discuss "the headquarters of the joint armed forces" in Moscow and "the current activities of the guiding bodies of the joint armed forces." These formulations are fairly standard but could imply that the Soviets are trying to expand the network of coordinating bodies within the Warsaw Pact. so, a large part of the agenda of the rumored Pact summit could be devoted to ways to enhance Pact integration. There may be a linkage between the drive for nearly simultaneous Pact and CEMA summits. though precedents are sometimes false friends, the last expansion of the Warsaw Pact web of organizations took place in 1969, when the military council and defense ministers' committee were created. This was the same year that CEMA decided on its "complex program" of integration.

East: Germany

East Germany is without question this season's model student in the lessons of integration. accompaniment of high-decibel publicity, virtually the entire East German party and state leadership trekked to Moscow in early October and signed a new, 25-year friendship treaty with the Soviets. The new treaty incorporated the Brezhnev doctrine, assuring the East Germans of Soviet fraternal assistance if their regime is in internal, as well as external, danger; extended the principle of mutual military aid beyond the confines of Europe; and obliterated all references to German reunification. The treaty was based on the principles of across-the-board coordination and cooperation, and expanded, though imprecisely, the military and economic commitments Moscow and East

Approved For Release 2003/04/17 PARDP 3T00865A002200180001-9

Germany have undertaken in the Warsaw Pact and CEMA to include political and ideological coordination.

The treaty rattled the Yugoslavs and Poles. Almost immediately they interpreted the treaty as a model for the other East European states, and their response showed that they were highly displeased with the possibility. The Yugoslavs, in particular, were distressed by the sharp reminder that the Brezhnev doctrine was alive and well, whatever the impression left by the European security accords signed in Helsinki. The Poles were more restrained, telling Western diplomats that they could see no reason for the agreement and certainly did not find it "consistent" with the Helsinki agreement. The Romanians, as might be expected, asserted that they would never sign such a treaty, and added that even the normally subservient Bulgarians would not fall into line. Yet the rumors persisted, and the announcement of Czechoslovak leader Husak's trip to Moscow in late November sparked speculation that he was going to follow Honecker's example. While it is possible that a new Czechoslovak-Soviet friendship treaty will be concluded, the present treaty, signed in 1970, already has the key passages included in the new East German accord. Even without a new treaty, Moscow is doubtless preparing another feast celebrating bloc solidarity as the centerpiece of the Husak visit.

Poland

A striking new development during the period was the spate of rumors alleging Soviet unhappiness with the quality of Polish party chief Gierek's leadership, the direction of Polish economic policy, and the flourishing ties between Poland and the West. On the surface, Soviet-Polish relations seem normal, and it is generally believed that Soviet party chief Brezhnev will attend the Polish party congress which opens on December 8. Behind the scenes, however, there are mutterings from Poland about Soviet pretensions in CEMA; Soviet complaints about the reliability of Poland's military; speculation in Warsaw

Approved For Release 2003/04/17 : CIA-RDP79T00865A002200180001-9

that Moscow may have had a hand in the spate of fires in October in an attempt to discredit Gierek; rumors of a hasty trip by Soviet President Podgorny to interfere in the pre-congress jockeying between Gierek and Premier Jaroszewicz; and unsubstantiated, low-level reports of Soviet troop movements in Czecho-slovakia and the USSR directed toward putting down disorders in Poland should a repetition of the 1970 riots occur.

The Soviets may indeed be uneasy about the flareup of unrest caused by the shortages of consumer goods and rumors of price increases, but rather than exacerbating the situation to undermine Gierek, Moscow has extended several loans to ease Poland through its difficulties. As the party congress approaches, Moscow may be hedging its bets by making sympathetic noises to Jaroszewicz, but past experience has shown the Soviets that control of Poland can be better exercised through a leader with some claim to national popularity than through a man many Poles consider to be sitting in Moscow's pocket. Nevertheless, the charge alleged to have been made by the Soviets that Poland is adopting a "neo-Romanian" course may have some substance. Like the Romanians, the Poles have energy and other natural resources of their own, and so they have not been hit as hard by Moscow's hike in prices. Having less need to beg for economic favors from Moscow, the Poles are pursuing a somewhat more nationalist course in their foreign economic relations. The confidence gained from this exercise may have contributed to Warsaw's failure to follow the East German example in updating its friendship treaty with Moscow.

Romania-Yugoslavia

As usual, the bad boys of the Balkans--Bucharest and Belgrade--caused some heartburn in Moscow. The Romanians and Yugoslavs have carried on an ostentatious flirtation with the Chinese during the period, but the Soviet reaction was, with one exception,

restrained. The limit was reached during the visit of Yugoslav Premier Bijedic to Peking. Following a spate of unexceptionally insulting after-dinner remarks from the Chinese, the Soviet ambassador stalked out, followed by the Bulgarian, Czechoslovak, East German, Mongolian, Hungarian, and Polish representatives. The Romanians stayed put, alongside the Albanians, Cubans, North Koreans, and Vietnamese. By walking out, the Soviets reportedly were seeking to show the Balkan duo the limits of their tolerance for rapprochement with Peking.

Soviet displeasure over Romanian sniping at Soviet positions -- both indirectly through the stream of visitors to Peking and the numerous Romanian speeches and articles phrased in aesopian language and directly in multilateral party, CEMA, and Warsaw Pact forums -- was undoubtedly expressed during Soviet party secretary Katushev's visit to Bucharest in early October. Ceausescu and Tito may have decided to postpone the get-together they had planned for mid-October while the bear was aroused. manians sent party secretary Andrei to Moscow to explain Bucharest's course in greater detail, but he met a cold reception. After a suitable interval, the Romanians resumed their war of words, though at a somewhat reduced level, and Moscow has lapsed into stony silence.

Belgrade's relations with Moscow have been complicated by its intensifying campaign against the "cominformists," among whose sins is a greater love for Moscow than the current Yugoslav party line allows. The Soviets have not chosen to get caught in this morass and have studiously avoided public comment, though privately they admit relations are strained. The chill in Soviet-Yugoslav relations is revealed by a comparison of Podgorny's remarks in mid-October to the outgoing Yugoslav ambassador with those made a month later to his successor. Podgorny had earlier said that Moscow "firmly intends" to make progress in developing relations in

November 24, 1975

Approved For Release 2003/04/17 : CIA-RDP79T00865A002200180001-9

Confidential

Confidential